INNOVATIONS WITHIN ISOLATION.
REGRAMMATION AND/OR SUBJECTIVIZATION:
LAT. DE IN ROMANIAN
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It is not surprising that Romanian, as an isolated area within the Romance world, has known specific innovations that brought further several developments known by all Romance languages, besides conservative trends, usually favored by contingent areal reinforcements. One of these innovations is represented by the evolution of the Latin preposition DE ‘from’, ‘of’. In all Romance languages, Lat. DE became a variety of markers, namely: (i) a preposed case marker and (ii) a connective combining with either another preposition or a conjunction. But in Romanian the preposition DE went beyond these functions. It has undergone a process of regrammation becoming a complementizer that introduces a subordinate clause with a finite verb in either the indicative or, rarely, the subjunctive. According to Andersen (2005), regrammation refers to a change by which a grammatical element, through reanalysis, is ascribed a different grammatical content (change within and among grammatical paradigms). The only semantic relational feature which could explain the astonishing diversification of Lat. DE in Romanian consists in its possibility of expressing a movement (physical or abstract) away from a starting point that is envisaged as being contingent with the moving object (physical or abstract) at its point of departure. Oral and areal tendencies for replacing nominalized forms of the verb with finite-forms could also provide favorable contexts for the use of DE as a complementizer. Additional pragmatic factors such as an implicature of strengthening the assertive illocutionary force of the preceding clause may account for the preservation in comparative and consecutive clauses in contemporary Romanian.

1. **DE AS A PREPOSITION**

In Old Romanian, the preposition *de* has a variety of functions: it may be a preposed free case marker (introducing attributes, predicative nouns, indirect objects or any other kind of Noun Phrase) or a part of a compound preposition. As in any other Romance language, it may express the following relations: agent,
origin (locative of provenance),\(^1\) ‘whole/part’ (‘composition’, ‘inherent characteristics’\(^2\)), quantifier\(^3\), etc. In what follows we shall examine only those contextual values that are germane to those of clauses with a finite verb form, namely: attribute and adverbia l phrases expressing purpose, goal or cause, comparative of superiority.

1.1. DE + N

(a) ‘purpose, goal’:

(i) attribute:

1. cuvinte foarte de folos şi de treabă cătră toţi creştinii words very of use and of need to all Christians

2. (i) predicative noun: ‘goal: ‘for’, after the verb ‘to be’:

ce e de păcate şi de curăţie şi de prazdnice (Coresi, CÎ: 16)

3. ‘what is for [repenting your] sins, and for cleansing, and for feasts’.

(b) comparative:

1. Rom. Şi dereptŭ şi mai mare de toţi oamenii (Coresi, CÎ: 15)

2. ‘Both righteous and higher than all men’ (noun modifier)

3. In Modern Romanian, comparative de has been replaced by its compound: decât (<de + cât ‘how much’), which already occurs in old texts:

4. spre vrăjmaşii să avemŭ dragoste mai bună decât spre priatenii (Înv: 515)

5. ‘we should have better love for [our] enemies than for [our] friends’

5. s-au făcut decât toate negrăţele şi decât toate întunecările mai negru şi mai întunecat (Ivireanu: 118)

6. ‘he became blacker and darker than all the black things and than all the dark ones’.

---

\(^1\) ‘locative: of provenance’:

O. Rom. domnii de Ardeal (Costin: 28) vs. domnul Ardealului (Costin: 25)

1. ‘The Kings of Transylvania’.

2. king.the.Transylvan ia.the:GEN

3. ‘the King of Transylvania’.

\(^2\) ‘composition’:

O. Rom. oameni cu inimă de țărănă (Coresi, CÎ: 6)

1. ‘men with a heart of dust’

\(^3\) ‘an inherent characteristic’:

Rom. un om tare de cap

2. a man strong of head,

3. ‘a hardheaded man’.

Rom. 3.000 de oameni (Costin: 63)

1. 3,000 of men’, i.e. ‘3000 men’.
1.2. Verb + DE + NP

As a verb modifier DE could introduce an indirect object, an agent complement, or an adverbial phrase:

(a) When introducing an indirect object, DE remains the preferred preposition till the present time:

(6) O. Rom. sără ș de aminte de Dumnezeu (Înv: 525)
that REFLECTIVE:DAT remember of God
‘to remember God’

Similar constructions can be found in other Romance languages (see: (7)).

(7) Fr. Je me souviens de Paul
Sp. Me acuerdo de Pablo
‘I remember Paul’

(b) agent in a passive construction:

(8) Rom. ce-mi eră dăruită de Dumnezeu (Coresi, Cl: 6)
‘that was given me by God’

(9) care este de dănsul isprăvită (Neculce: 40)
‘which is finished by him’

(c) cause:

(10) O. Rom. eu pieri de foame (Coresi, Cl: 21)
‘I am perishing of hunger’

After verbs of feelings de can introduce the external cause of the feeling:

(11) ‘Bucură-te, suflete, că eu mă bucur de tine’ (CS: 247)
‘Rejoice, [my] soul, because I rejoice in you’

Compare also:

(12). Rom. plângea de durere Pg. chorava de dor Fr. il/elle pleurait de douleur
‘(s)he was weeping because of the pain’,

where de introduces an NP referring to a physical cause.

If, after verbs of motion, other Romance languages also choose to introduce the point of departure by the reflex of de, Romanian prefers the compound de la. Compare (13) and (14), (15):

(13) Fr. Je viens de Madrid Pg. Venho de São Paulo
Sp. Vengo de Madrid ‘I come from São Paulo’
‘I come from Madrid’

(14). O. Rom. Pasă de la mine, Satană! (Înv: 523)
‘Depart from me, Satan!’

4 But see also the preposition de ‘from’ introducing an adverbial locative:
și depărtează omului de Dumnezeu (Coresi, Cl: 15)
‘and takes man away from God’.
1.3. *De* in compounds

*De* also co-occurs with other connectives (prepositions, conjunctions or relative pronouns):


Cf. also Lat. *de unde > Fr. dont* ‘of what, ‘whose’ (relative pronoun); Sp. *donde ‘where’.

In addition to the compound conjunctions, *de* forms part of a significant number of periphrases:


2. CONTEXTS FAVORING THE SPREAD OF *DE* AS A COMPLEMENTIZER

In Romanian, the preposition *DE* also became a clause connective, spreading from constructions where it introduces modifiers of nominalized variants of the verb (long infinitives, agentive nouns derived from verbal stems, past participles / adjectives) to constructions in which it precedes a non-finite verb (infinitive, supine) and then to constructions where it functions as a complementizer introducing a subordinate clause with a finite verb form in the indicative.

2.1. *De + N* after nominalized forms of verbs.

(i) Nominalized long infinitive + *DE + N*:

(18) *iubirea de dulceaţă* (Coreși, Cl: 12) *vindecare de păcate* (Coreși, Cl: 23)

‘love of sweetness’      ‘healing of sins’

(ii) Agentive derivates with the suffix *-tor, -toare + DE + N*:

(19) *această folosoitoare de sufletă carte* (Coreși, Cl: 2 )

this useful of soul book

‘this book useful for the soul’        Cf. the verb *a folosi ‘to be useful to’

(20) *de oameni iubitorul Dumnezeu* (Coreși, Cl: 23)

of people loving the God

‘God the one who loves people’        Cf. the verb *a iubi ‘to love’

(21) *pohtitorul de bine* (Înv: 511) *făcătorul de minuni* (Ivireanu: 119)

‘the one who wishes goodness’      ‘the doer of miracles’
Cf. *a pohti* ‘to wish / to desire’. Cf. *a face* ‘to do’

(iii) Past participles (passive) / adjectives +DE +N:

(22) *scos de Miron Costin* (Costin: 53)

‘issued [i.e. written] by MC’.

### 2.2. De + infinitive

Unlike in other Romance areas, *de* followed by an infinitive clause is already very rare in Old Romanian. In (23), *de* introduces an infinitive preceded by the preposition *a* ‘to’, which became the regular preposition preceding the infinitive (cf. Engl. *to + INF*):

(23) *ce nu e putere de-a spunerea* (Coresi, Cl: 4)

‘that not is ability of-to say: INF.the’

In other Romance languages *de* followed by an infinitive after either a noun or a verb is quite frequent, but in Romanian it was replaced by a subjunctive construction even when the subjects are coreferential. Comp. (24) a and b:

(24) a. Fr. *J’ ai le devoir de lui dire*

*I have the duty of him:DAT tell:INF ‘It is my duty to tell him.’*

but

b. Rom. *Am datoria să-i spun*

*have.I duty that him:DAT tell:SUBJ.I ‘It is my duty to tell him.’*

Compare also Rom (25) and (27) with (26) and (28):

a) after verbs of feeling:

(25) Rom. *mă bucur să te văd*

*me:ACC am.glad that you:ACC see:INF ‘I am glad to see you’*

(26) Fr. *Je me réjouis de te voir*

*REFL.1 rejoice of you:ACC see:INF ‘I am glad to see you’*

Sp. *Me allegro de verte*

*REFL.1 rejoice of see:INF.you ‘I am glad to see you’*

It. *La donna si accontentò di guardarlo*

*The woman REFL.3 was.content of see:INF-him ‘The woman was content to look at him’*

b) after verbs of saying:

(27) Rom. *I- am spus să vină mâine*

*He:DAT have said that come:SUBJ tomorrow ‘I told him to come tomorrow’*
The occurrence of DE has to be also related to the replacement of the infinitive with a verbal noun reminding the Latin supine, which is also introduced by de. Though very rare in Old Romanian, the supine construction shares with other DE-constructions several relational meanings that presuppose a movement from a certain point onward, such as:

– ‘purpose’:

(29) \text{apă de băut} \\
water of drink:SUP \\
‘drinking water’

It can occur in verbal periphrases expressing imminence:

(30) \text{Petru are de scris un articol} \\
Peter has of write:SUP an article \\
‘Peter has to write a report’

– after aspectual semi-auxiliaries expressing the end of an event:

(31) \text{Petru a terminat de scris noul său roman} \\
Peter has finished of write:SUP new.the his novel \\
‘Peter has finished writing his new novel’.

Cf. Fr. \text{Il a fini d’écrire son nouveau roman} \\
He has finished of writeINF his new novel \\
‘Peter has finished writing his new novel’, where the infinitive is also introduced by DE.

3. \text{DE AS A CONJUNCTION}

The 17th-century texts display a variety of subordinate clauses introduced by de, among which consecutive clauses are the most frequent. The spread of DE as a

\footnote{Cf. Lat. supine after verbs of movement: \\
\text{Romam ad senatum ueniunt} \\
Rome:ACC to senate:ACC came.they \\
\text{auxilium postulatum}, Caesar, B.G. I, 31 \\
help:ACC ask:SUPINE \\
‘They came to Rome to the senate to ask for help’ \\
or after impersonal expressions such as \text{opus est}, \text{fast est}, etc. (see Manoliu 1985: 324 and 1994: 111-178),}
complementizer was favored by Latin oral tendencies that were supported by similar areal typological phenomena.

(i) Latin oral and areal tendencies. The replacement of the infinitive by finite-verb constructions has been connected with a general oral tendency and with similar Balkan, especially Greek, phenomena (see Rosetti 1986: 236-237). This tendency can explain the replacement of the infinitive with the finite-forms after verbs of volition or verbs of feeling, which, in fact, was already possible in Latin (see Ernout, Thomas 1993: 297-298). Compare (32) where *uolo* 'to wish, to want' is followed by infinitive + Accusative, with (33) where the complement clause is in the subjunctive:

(32) *uolo me placere* Philolachi (Plaut, *Most*: 167)

want.I me:ACC please:INF Philolacus:DAT

‘I want to please Philolacus’

but

(33) *uolo ames* (Cicero, *Att*.2:10)

want.I love.you:SUBJ.PRES

‘I want you to love’.

The same construction can occur after a verb of feeling such as *dolere* ‘to suffer’:


I suffered:IMPF because partner:ACC lose.I:IND.PPF

‘I was suffering [...] because [...] I had lost my partner’.

(ii) Modal variations. In order to explain the use of the indicative after DE it is necessary to bring into the picture the more general tendency of alternating the subjunctive with the indicative in certain contexts such as consecutive or causal constructions, which was also possible in colloquial Latin after *cum or ut* and even after *quod*, if the cause was presented as a real event or, as Bennett puts it, “when the reason is that of the writer or speaker” (see Ernout Thomas 1993: 298; 347, Bennett 2004: 185):

(35) *Si quid possimus eo possimus, quod respublica nos coniuxit cum bonis* (Cicero, *Pro Mil*., 21)

‘If we can do something, we do it, because the republic connected [IND] us with good [people]’.

The subjunctive was used if the cause clause referred to a reason which is not viewed as that of the writer or the speaker (36):

(36) *Principes Treverorum de suis privatis rebus a Caesare auxilium petere coeperunt, quoniam civitati consulere non possent* (Cesar, *B.G*. V,3)

‘The chiefs (princes) of the Treveri began to ask Caesar for help concerning their private affairs, because they could [SUBJ] not deliberate (decide) on matters of state’.

After verbs of feelings, the event expressed by the complement clause (referring to the external cause of the feeling) may be interpreted as being real and, consequently, falls outside the scope of the modality imposed by the main verb. Compare Latin (37) and Romanian (38):
Quid timeo rusticitatem meam, cum redemptor noster non oratores, sed piscatores praelegit. (Grég. De Tours, Mart. Praef, in Bonnet, 681; Ernout Thomas 1993: 347)

‘Why am I afraid of my coarseness, since our Lord preached not to orators, but to fishermen’

ne mirám că(ci) nu ne face Dumnezeu pe voia noastră (Ivireanu, P: 85)

‘we wonder why God does not act: IND.PRES according to our wish’.

See also Classical French:

Phèdre se plaint que je suis outragé (Racine, Phèdre, III: 5)

‘Phèdre is complaining about the fact that I am [INDIC] outraged’

and Colloquial French:

C’est embêtant qu’il est pas là (BM 242, in Ball, 2000 : 91)

‘It is annoying that he is [IND] not here’

and also:

je me réjouis de ce qu’il est là

‘I am glad that he is [IND he re ‘ [if presented as a fact]

Note the fact that, in French, DE preserves its prepositional status since it introduces the pronominal NP (ce) modified by a relative-clause referring to the real external cause of the feeling. A similar modal variation may be found in Italian:

mi fa piacere che tu sia venuto

‘I am pleased that you have [SUBJ] come’

but

mi dispiace che il treno è arrivato in ritardo

‘I am sorry that the train has come [IND] late’.

But let us now turn our attention to the type of clauses which could be introduced by DE in Old Romanian.

3.1. Consecutive clauses

17th c.:

Că frica lu Dumnezeu iaste izvorulă vieției, de face omulă de se fereaște de lanțurile morției (Coresi, CÎ: 26).

‘Since the fear of God is the source of life, so it makes man avoid the chains of death’;

18th c.:

aşa și smereniță hrâniaște bunătățile de cresc șe le ferește de toate primejdiile, ca să nu piară (Ivireanu: 117)

‘so in the same way humility nourishes goodness so it can grow, and it protects it from all dangers, so it shall not perish’.

In (46), the consecutive clause modifies an adjective:
As (44), (45), and (46) show, the consecutive clause is an argument for asserting that the event expressed by the main clause has a high degree of intensity or assertiveness (confirming its truth value). The point of departure for the spread of such constructions may be found in a construction with a comparative quantifier: 

\textit{atât} (quantifier of equality) \ldots \textit{de} ‘of’, i.e. ‘so much… as’ (see (47)):6

\begin{equation}
\text{Atâta se elû de lăudà, câtû vrea să se so.QUANT REFL he of praised, as will that REFL potrivească […] nedreptăţiei (Coresi, Cl: 16) matches.SUBJ injustice.DAT}
\end{equation}

‘He praised himself so much that he would be ready to be unjust’.

A similar meaning is conveyed by an Italian construction where the preposition \textit{da} is followed by an infinitive:

\begin{equation}
\text{Buvve tanto da ubriacarsi (Maiden, Robustelli 2000: 377)}
\end{equation}

‘He drank so much that he got drunk’.

In other words, the \textit{de}-construction carries an implicature of ‘intensity’ attributed to the event referred to by the main clause. In Modern Romanian, in a pragmatically unmarked consecutive construction, the same idea is explicitly encoded by the correlative \textit{atât de} \textit{S1} \textit{încât} \textit{S2} ‘so S1…that S2’ (see (50), which is a translation of (46) into contemporary Romanian (which we reproduce below as (49)):

\begin{equation}
\text{Mila dumnezeiască este atât de mare încât aduce bucurie omului când nu se așteaptă.}
\end{equation}

‘That God’s generosity is so great, \textit{that} it brings joy to man when he does not expect it’.

---

6 In Old Romanian, as in other Romance areas, \textit{DE} was already used for introducing an adjective or an adverb after a quantifier:

\textit{O. Rom. cela ce-şi va dâ şi jumătate de avuţia lui} (Coresi, Cl: 17).

‘the one who will give even half of his possessions’

\textit{Cf. Fr. la moitié de ses biens} ‘half of his possessions’.
Examples of consecutive clauses introduced by de carrying the same implicature may also be found in 20th-c. literary discourse (51):

(51) spre a-l irita, începuse să imite strigătul de luptă al apaşilor, de putea fi auzit şi de pe stadion (Buzura, VN, 1998: 44).

‘in order to aggravate him, he began to imitate the Apaches’ war cry [which was so loud], that it could be heard even in the stadium’.

According to our hypothesis, the conservation of the consecutive construction until the present day must have been favored by its pragmatic value. 7 In (52) de-clause determines an elliptical predicative noun ‘such as…’:

(52) Dumnezeu iaste de lucrează întru noi (Coresi, CÎ: 16)

‘God is such that works. he within us’.

In a few cases, the consequence is a mere result of a succession of events which is usually expressed by a copulative conjunction such as şi 8 (see also below the alternation between de and şi after verbs of motion in (55) and (56)):

(53)a. grăi glas din ceriu de dzisă aşa:

‘he spoke from the sky and said thus: “Take stone. the!” ’

b. Mod. Rom.

a      grăit   din  cer şi a spus aşa:

‘he spoke from the sky and has said thus:’

Luaţi pietra!

‘Take stone.the!’

3.2. Final clauses

As (54) shows, in Old Romanian, both the infinitive and the subjunctive can occur in free variation after verbs of motion in order to express purpose: in the first clause the verb of motion nu venii ‘I did not come’ is followed by the subjunctive but in the following coordinated clause the same verb of motion is followed by an infinitive clause a chema ‘to call’:

(54) Nu venii sâ osândesc lumea,

Not came that condemn.SUBJ world.the

ce sâ spăsescu lumea;

but that save.SUBJ world. the

nu venii a chemă derepţii, ce păcăoşii la pocăinţă!

not came to call.INF righteous.the but sinners to repentance

(Coresi, CÎ: 11)

7 See Traugott, König (1991), Traugott, Dasher (2002), on the importance of the pragmatic change from neutral to subjective.

8 For the evolution of şi (<Lat. sic) in Romanian see Manoliu (2004).
‘I did not come to condemn people but to save people; I did not come to call the righteous but the sinners to repentance’.

In (55) de introduces a clause referring to the goal:

(55) beați de vă veseliți, și de nevoia
‘drink of enjoy.you, and of need
seateei voastre stâmpărați -vă! (Coresi, Cl: 5)
thirst.Gen yours assuage.you:Ind.Pres -you:Acc
‘Drink to enjoy yourself and to assuage the need of your thirst’.

Final clauses occur rather frequently after verbs of motion and then the meaning of purpose is less salient, which explains the replacement of de by și ‘and’ or by [ca]
să + Subj:

(56) Sculă-mă- voi duce către părintele mieu (Coresi, Cl: 28)
‘I shall rise to go to my father’

(57) Iată se ducu îngerii și slujesc lor (CS: 244)
‘Look, the angels go and serve them’

After verbs of saying, DE can also signal a mere succession of events rather than a consequence or goal (58):

(58) grăi glas din ceriu de dzisă aşa: ‘Luați piatra!’ (CS: 237)
‘a voice from the sky spoke and said thus: “Take the stone!”

It is likely that this weakening of its meaning was the reason for combining it with să + Subjunctive (de să):

(59) ce dedă lui și ucenicilor lui, de să
‘so gave.he him:Dat and followers:Dat his to that
tipărească această carte, Evanghelie cu învățătură (Coresi, Cl: 6)
print:Subj this book, Evanghelie cu învățătură
‘so he gave him and his followers to print this book, Evanghelie cu învățătură’

But only the combination of ca (Lat. quia) + să followed by the subjunctive, already present in earlier texts (see (60)), became the standard final construction:

(60) Că dereptă aceaia vine întru lume,
‘So, because of that comes.he into world
ca păcătoșii să spăeasească (Coresi, Cl: 29)
so sinners.the that save:Subj
‘He therefore comes into the world to save the sinners’.

Cf. standard Cont. Rom.:
Maria M. Manoliu

3.3. Conditional clauses

De in if-clauses occurs with the compound future (va ‘will’ +INF) or the conditional (am ‘have’ + INF). Its replacement, the compound deacă (<de + că ( <Lat. quod)), is already present in 17th-c texts. Compare (64)-(65) and (66):

(64) de nu va zidi Domnulă casa, întru desertu of/if not will build God house, in vain se vor trudi ceia ce o zidescă (Coresi, CÎ: 13) REF.3rd will work those who it build
‘if God does (lit. will) not build the house, those who build it will work in vain’

(65) Dragiloră, de va zice cineva că iubeşte pre Dumnezeu, iară pre fratele său uraşte, acela mincinos iaste (Înv: 512)
‘Dear [parishioners], if somebody says (lit. ‘will say’) that he loves God, but he hates his brother, that one is a liar.’

But see also:

(66) deaca ne grişimă noi de ale trupurilor noastre, datori săntem mai vârtoşă să avăm şi să grişimă de a sufletului (Coresi, CÎ: 3)
‘if we take care of our bodies, we are even more obliged to take care of [those things belonging to] the soul’,

where the present indicative follows the compound hypothetical conjunction, deaca.

When co-occurring with the intensifier şi ‘even’ in the same clause, de carries the implicature that a contrary expectation is denied (cf. Engl. even if):

(67) de vom face şi milostenie, toate ‘if shall. we give even alms, all sântu pierdute (Înv: 522)
are lost
‘even if we give alms everything is lost’.
De may be preceded by another conjunction măcar ‘only’ in order to activate the same implicature:

(68) măcară de-  am muri  şi pentru Hristos (Înv: 515)
    ‘only if we died even for Christ’.

Modern Romanian (19th century onwards) expresses the same implicature by combining chiar (< Lat. claru- ‘[it is] clear’) and dacă:

(69) Chiar dacă  am muri pentru Hristos
    ‘Even if we died for Christ’

The modern conjunction deşi ‘although’ is the result of combining de and şi.

A conditional DE ‘whether’ could also introduce a complement-clause after negative verbs of knowing:

(70) Dară nu ştiu de va înţelĕge aşa pre lesne (Ivireanu : 209)
    ‘But I do not know whether he would understand so easily’.

In 17th c. texts, să alone could introduce a conditional clause (71):

(71) şi să vămŭ vedeà neştine că greşaşte, să nu-lŭ  osândimŭ (Coresi, CÎ: 18)
    ‘and if we shall see anybody sinning, we should not condemn him’.

More often, să (co-occurring with the adverbial intensifier  şi ) serves to express a denial of a contrary expectation: ‘even if’ (72):

(72) iară cineş va osândi, sine şi munçiei vinovată se face, să va aveă şi lucrure bune multe (Coresi, CÎ: 17).
    ‘and whoever will condemn [his neighbors], will be guilty and condemned, even if he has done good deeds.’

(73) că amu noi să vămă  şi tăceà, elă ştie toată taina inimii (Coresi, CÎ: 19)
    ‘because, you see, even if we keep [FUT] silent, he [still] knows the secret of the [= our] heart’.

Conditional de (+ conditional present) is still used in independent optative clauses:

(74) De-  ar veni vara mai repede!
    ‘If only summer would come sooner!’

3.4. Complement clause: subject after impersonal constructions

(75) Ce încă nu e de destulă de eaşi a lucră,
    ‘That again not is of enough of would to work:INF
ce trebuiaşte  şi viiaţă dereaptă  şi curată (Coresi,CÎ: 4)
    but it.is.necessary also life righteous and clean.
    ‘Because it is not enough to work (i.e. to do good deeds), but there is also need for a righteous and pure life.’
The subject clauses occur often in folklore poetry after the impersonal construction with the verb *a se întâmpla* ‘to happen’ (see 76) or after the aspeclual inchoative verb *a începe* ‘to begin’ (see (77)):

(76)  
*Dar al nostru împărat,*  
*Tot mergând pe la vânat,*  
*Într’o seară s-a-ntîmplat,*  
*Pe la noi de-a înoptat*  
(Teodorescu, PP: 116 in GA: 387)  
‘But our emperor,  
Going around hunting  
One evening it happened,  
That he remained at our  
[home] over night’

(77)  
*Ouşoare că scotea*  
*Şi-ncepea*  
*De  le- mpârtea*  
(Teodorescu, PP: 532 in GA: 387)  
‘So she was getting out little eggs  
And she was beginning  
To distribute them’

CONCLUSIONS

The only semantic relational feature which could explain the astonishing evolution of Lat. *DE* in Romanian consists in its possibility of expressing a movement (physical or abstract) away from a starting point that is envisaged as being contingent with the moving object at its point of departure (see Pottier, 1962).

As in any Romance area, in Old Romanian the preposition *de* has a variety of functions: it may be a preposed free case marker (introducing attributes, predicative nouns, indirect objects or any other kind of Noun Phrase) or a part of a compound preposition.

But, unlike in other Romance languages, in Romanian, the preposition *DE* also became a clause connective, spreading from constructions where it introduces modifiers of nominalized variants of the verb (long infinitives, agentive nouns derived from verbal stems, past participles/adjectives) to constructions in which it precedes a non-finite verb (infinitive, supine) and then to constructions where it functions as a complementizer introducing a subordinate clause with a finite verb form in the indicative: consecutive clauses; subject clauses, after impersonal constructions, and even in final clauses or in conditional clauses (especially with the analytical future: *va* ‘will’ + infinitive).

The last stage of regrammation may be found in final clauses after verbs of motion, when the meaning of purpose is less salient. Consequently, *DE* becomes synonymous with a simple connective expressing a succession of events, which explains its replacement with the copulative *şi* ‘and’. In order to express ‘purpose’ or ‘goal’ unambiguously, *DE* was replaced by *ca* (< Lat. *quia*) + *se, să* (< Lat. *si*).

According to our hypothesis, the maintenance of the consecutive construction till the present time (as a pragmatically marked variant of the standard construction using the comparative marker *atât de... încât* ‘so much as’) must have been
favored by its pragmatic value of bringing an argument that validates the truth value of the statement in the main clause. DE is still the standard form for introducing another pragmatically marked construction, namely independent clauses expressing a wish (optative constructions).

De in if-clauses is less frequent even in older texts and its replacement, the compound deacă, dacă ( < de + că ( < Lat. quod )), is already present in 17th-c texts.

CORPORA

Coresi, Cl: Diaconul Coresi, Carte cu învăţătură (1581), publicată de Sextil Puşcariu și Alexie Procopovici, 1. Textul, Bucureşti, Socec and Co., 1914.
Neculce: Ion Neculce, Opere. Letopiseteţul Țării Moldovei şi O samă de cuvinte, ediţie critică şi studiu introductiv de Gabriel Ţrempel, Bucureşti, Minerva, 1982 [18th c.].
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